

## EXISTENCE OF POSITIVE NONRADIAL SOLUTIONS FOR NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS IN ANNULAR DOMAINS

SONG-SUN LIN

**ABSTRACT.** We study the existence of positive nonradial solutions of equation  $\Delta u + f(u) = 0$  in  $\Omega_a$ ,  $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega_a$ , where  $\Omega_a = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a < |x| < 1\}$  is an annulus in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $f$  is positive and superlinear at both 0 and  $\infty$ . We use a bifurcation method to show that there is a nonradial bifurcation with mode  $k$  at  $a_k \in (0, 1)$  for any positive integer  $k$  if  $f$  is subcritical and for large  $k$  if  $f$  is supercritical. When  $f$  is subcritical, then a Nehari-type variational method can be used to prove that there exists  $a^* \in (0, 1)$  such that for any  $a \in (a^*, 1)$ , the equation has a nonradial solution on  $\Omega_a$ .

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we shall study the existence of positive nonradial solutions of the equation

$$(1.1) \quad \Delta u + f(u) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega_a,$$

$$(1.2) \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_a,$$

where  $\Omega_a = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : a < |x| < 1\}$  is an annulus in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , and  $f$  satisfies the following conditions:

$$(H-0) \quad f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^1) \quad \text{and} \quad f(u) > 0 \quad \text{for } u > 0,$$

$$(H-1) \quad f(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} f(u)/u = 0,$$

$$(H-2) \quad \liminf_{u \rightarrow \infty} u f'(u)/f(u) > 1.$$

This paper is motivated by the work of Brezis and Nirenberg [3] and Coffman [4]. In [3], Brezis and Nirenberg proved that for any fixed domain  $\Omega_a$ , if  $f(u) = u^p$  and  $p < (n+2)/(n-2)$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , and is near to it, then (1.1) and (1.2) has a positive nonradial solution. Later on, in [4], Coffman studied (1.1), (1.2) with  $f(u) = -u + u^p$ ,  $p > 1$  and  $n = 2$ . He proved that the number of rotationally nonequivalent positive solutions grows without bound as  $a \rightarrow 1^-$ . In both papers, problems are subcritical and variational methods are used.

In this paper, we shall use two approaches to study the problems: the bifurcation method and the variational method.

Received by the editors July 25, 1989 and, in revised form, May 21, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 35B32, 35J65, 35P30; Secondary 34B15, 34B25.

*Key words and phrases.* Nonradial solution, bifurcation method, variational method.

Work partially supported by the National Science Council of the Republic of China.

In applying the bifurcation method, we shall take  $a$  (the inner radius) as a bifurcation parameter. In spherical coordinates, the linearized equation of equations (1.1) and (1.2) at positive radial solution  $u_a$  is

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi''(r) + \frac{n-1}{r} \varphi'(r) + \left\{ f'(u_a) - \frac{k(k+n-2)}{r^2} \right\} \varphi(r) \\ = -\mu_{k,l}(u_a) \varphi(r), \quad a < r < 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$(1.4) \quad \varphi(a) = 0 = \varphi(1),$$

where  $k$  and  $l$  are positive integers. It is well known that if there is a nonradial bifurcation at  $u_a$ , then  $\mu_{k,l}(u_a) = 0$  for some  $k$  and  $l$ . Therefore, to look for  $\mu_{k,l} = 0$ , it is worth knowing the signs of  $\mu_{k,1}(u_a)$  as  $a$  approaches to 1 or 0. We shall show that the condition (H-2) implies that, for any positive integer  $k$ ,  $\mu_{k,1}(u_a) < 0$  as  $a$  approaches 1. On the other hand, if " $u_a$  tends to a positive radial solution  $u_0$  of (1.1), (1.2) on the unit ball," then  $\mu_{1,1}(u_a) > 0$  as  $a$  approaches 0.

Hence, if  $f$  is subcritical, i.e.,  $f$  satisfies

$$(H-3) \quad \text{for } u \text{ large, } f(u) \leq \begin{cases} cu^p \text{ for some } p < \frac{n+2}{n-2} & \text{if } n \geq 3, \\ \exp A(u) \text{ with } A(u) = o(u^2) \text{ at } \infty & \text{if } n = 2, \end{cases}$$

then, for any  $k \geq 1$ , a nonradial bifurcation would occur at some  $a_k \in (0, 1)$ .

On the other hand, if  $f$  is supercritical, i.e.,  $f$  satisfies

$$(H-4) \quad uf'(u) \geq \frac{n+2}{n-2} f(u) \quad \text{for } u > 0,$$

we shall apply the McLeod-Serrin identity to show that  $\mu_{1,1}(u_a) \neq 0$  for any  $u_a$ . For such  $f$ , we can prove that  $\mu_{k,1}(u_a) > 0$  if  $k$  is large enough. Therefore a nonradial bifurcation would also occur at some  $a_k \in (0, 1)$  when  $k$  is large.

For the subcritical case, a Nehari-type variational method will also be used to study the existence of positive nonradial solutions. Indeed, consider the functionals

$$(1.5) \quad J(v) = \int_{\Omega_a} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 - F(v),$$

$$(1.6) \quad I(v) = \int_{\Omega_a} |\nabla v|^2 - v f(v),$$

on  $H_0^1(\Omega_a)$ , where  $F(v) = \int_0^v f(t) dt$ , and the numbers

$$(1.7) \quad j(a) = \inf\{J(v): v \in H_0^1(\Omega_a) \text{ and } I(v) = 0\},$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad j_\infty(a) = \inf\{J(v): v \in H_0^1(\Omega_a), I(v) = 0 \text{ and } v \text{ is radial}\}.$$

If the minimizers of  $j(a)$  and  $j_\infty(a)$  are achieved with

$$(1.9) \quad j(a) < j_\infty(a),$$

then the minimizers of  $j(a)$  will be nonradial, positive solutions of (1.1), (1.2).

For  $a \in (0, 1)$ , we can obtain (1.9) provided that all positive radial solutions of (1.1), (1.2) are "unstable with respect to nonradial modes," i.e., if  $u_a$  is

a positive radial solution of (1.1) and (1.2), then there exists an eigenvalue  $\mu_{k,1}(u_a) < 0$  for some positive integer  $k$ . Therefore, nonradial solutions exist, provided  $a$  is close to 1.

Existence and/or uniqueness of positive radial solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) have been studied by many authors, see, e.g., Ni and Nussbaum [17], Bandle, Coffman and Marcus [1], Garaizar [5] and Lin [11]. In case  $f(0) > 0$ , the existence of nonradial solutions has been studied by Suzuki and Nagasaki [21], Suzuki [22] and Lin [10, 12].

The paper is organized as follows: In §2, we briefly discuss some properties of positive radial solutions. In §3, we study the linearized equations (1.3) and (1.4) as  $a \rightarrow 0^+$  or  $a \rightarrow 1^-$ . In §4, we use the McLeod-Serrin identity to study  $\mu_{k,1} = 0$ . In §5, an argument of degree theory is used to show that nonradial bifurcation actually occurs at  $u_a$  that satisfies  $\mu_{k,1}(u_a) = 0$  and some appropriate conditions. In §6, a Nehari-type variational method is used to show that there exists a nonradial solution if  $f$  is subcritical and the annuli are narrow enough.

## 2. RADIAL SOLUTIONS

In this section, we shall discuss some properties of positive radial solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) which will be used later.

A radial solution  $u = u(r)$  of (1.1) and (1.2) satisfies the following equations

$$(2.1) \quad u''(r) + \frac{n-1}{r}u'(r) + f(u(r)) = 0, \quad r \in (a, 1),$$

$$(2.2) \quad u(a) = 0 = u(1).$$

In Lin [11], it was proved that for any  $a \in (0, 1)$ , (2.1) and (2.2) have a positive radial solution  $u_a$  provided that  $f$  satisfies (H-0), (H-1) and

$$(H-2)' \quad \lim_{u \rightarrow \infty} f(u)/u = \infty.$$

It is clear that (H-2) implies (H-2)'. Therefore, if  $f$  satisfies (H-0)  $\sim$  (H-2), then for any  $a \in (0, 1)$ , (2.1) and (2.2) have at least one positive radial solution.

For  $n \geq 3$ , set  $s = r^{2-n}$  and  $w(s) = u(r)$ , then (2.1) and (2.2) can be written as

$$(2.3) \quad w''(s) + \rho(s)f(w(s)) = 0 \quad \text{in } (s_0, s_1),$$

$$(2.4) \quad w(s_0) = 0 = w(s_1),$$

where  $\rho(s) = (n-2)^{-2}s^{-2-\varepsilon}$ ,  $\varepsilon = 2/(n-2)$ ,  $s_0 = 1$  and  $s_1 = a^{2-n}$ . For  $n = 2$ , set

$$s = -\log r \quad \text{and} \quad w(s) = u(r),$$

then equations (2.1), (2.2) can also be written as (2.3), (2.4) with  $\rho(s) = e^{-2s}$ ,  $s_0 = 0$  and  $s_1 = -\log a$ .

It is easy to check that solution  $w$  of (2.3) also satisfies the following integral equation

$$(2.5) \quad w(s) = w(\bar{s}) + w'(\bar{s})(s - \bar{s}) + \int_{\bar{s}}^s (t - s)\rho(t)f(w(t))dt$$

for  $s, \bar{s} \in (s_0, s_1)$ .

We first study radial solutions  $u_a$  when  $a$  is close to 1.

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $u_a$  is a solution of (2.1) and (2.2), then we have*

- (i)  $\|u_a\|_\infty \rightarrow \infty$  as  $a \rightarrow 1^-$ ,
- (ii)  $\int_{\Omega_a} |\nabla u_a|^2 \rightarrow \infty$  as  $a \rightarrow 1^-$ .

*Proof.* Let  $w(s, \alpha)$  be the solution of the following initial value problem

$$(2.6) \quad w''(s) + \rho(s)f(w(s)) = 0, \quad s > s_0,$$

$$(2.7) \quad w(s_0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad w'(s_0) = \alpha > 0.$$

Set  $s_1(\alpha) = \sup\{\bar{s} : w(s, \alpha) > 0 \text{ in } (s_0, \bar{s})\}$ , we claim that if  $s_1(\alpha_j) \rightarrow s_0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\alpha_j \rightarrow \infty$ . We first prove that for any  $\bar{s}_1 > s_0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $\alpha \in (0, \delta)$ ,

$$(2.8) \quad w(s, \alpha) > 0 \quad \text{in } (s_0, \bar{s}_1].$$

In fact, if  $w(s, \alpha) > 0$  in  $(s_0, \bar{s}_1)$ , by (2.5), we have  $w(s, \alpha) \leq \alpha \bar{s}_1$  for  $s \in [s_0, \bar{s}_1]$ . Now,  $w$  satisfies

$$w''(s) + \rho(s) \frac{f(w(s))}{w(s)} w(s) = 0.$$

By (H-1) and the Sturm Comparison Theorem, (2.8) follows.

Next, we show that for any  $0 < m < M$ ,

$$(2.9) \quad \inf\{s_1(\alpha) : \alpha \in [m, M]\} > s_0.$$

If (2.9) were false, then there would be a sequence  $\{\alpha_j\} \subset [m, M]$  such that  $\alpha_j \rightarrow \alpha_0 > 0$  and  $s_1(\alpha_j) \rightarrow s_1(\alpha_0) = s_0$ , a contradiction. This proves (2.9). Therefore, if  $s_1(\alpha_j) \rightarrow s_0$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $\alpha_j \rightarrow \infty$ .

For large  $\alpha$ , let  $\tau(\alpha) \in (s_0, s_1)$  such that  $w(\tau(\alpha), \alpha) = \|w(\cdot, \alpha)\|_\infty$ . Then by the same argument as in Lemma 2.1 of [11], we have  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} w(\tau(\alpha), \alpha) = \infty$ . This proves (i).

(ii) Let  $\tau(a) \in (a, 1)$  such that  $\|u_a(\cdot)\|_\infty = u_a(\tau(a))$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} u_a(\tau(a)) &= \int_a^{\tau(a)} u'(s) ds \leq (\tau(a) - a)^{1/2} \left\{ \int_a^{\tau(a)} u'(r)^2 dr \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq (\tau(a) - a)^{1/2} a^{(1-n)/2} \omega_n^{-1/2} \left\{ \int_{\Omega_a} |\nabla u_a|^2 \right\}^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega_n$  is the area of unit sphere  $S^{n-1}$ . Hence, (ii) follows.

This completes the proof.

Next, we shall study radial solutions  $u_a$  when  $a$  is close to 0. Let  $u_\alpha \equiv u(\cdot, \alpha)$  be the solution of (2.1), (2.2) with  $a = a(\alpha) \in (0, 1)$ , and

$$(2.10) \quad u'(1, \alpha) = -\alpha < 0.$$

It is easy to check that there exists a unique  $\tau(\alpha) \in (a(\alpha), 1)$  such that  $u(\tau(\alpha), \alpha) = \|u(\cdot, \alpha)\|_\infty$ . For such  $u_\alpha$ , define

$$(2.11) \quad \tilde{u}_\alpha(r) = \tilde{u}(r, \alpha) = \begin{cases} u(r, \alpha) & \text{if } r \in [\tau(\alpha), 1], \\ u(\tau(\alpha), \alpha) & \text{if } r \in [0, \tau(\alpha)]. \end{cases}$$

Note that  $u_0$  is a positive radial solution of (1.1), (1.2) on the unit ball  $\Omega_0$  if it satisfies

$$(2.12) \quad u''(r) + \frac{n-1}{r}u'(r) + f(u(r)) = 0, \quad r \in (0, 1),$$

$$(2.13) \quad u'(0) = 0 = u(1).$$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Assume*

- (i)  $u_0 = u(\cdot, \alpha_0)$  is a positive radial solution on the unit ball,
- (ii) there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, \alpha_0 + \delta)$  (or  $(\alpha_0 - \delta, \alpha_0)$ ),  $u_\alpha \equiv u(\cdot, \alpha)$  is a positive radial solution on the annulus with  $a = a(\alpha) \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$(2.14) \quad \|u_\alpha\|_\infty \leq M < \infty.$$

Then,  $\tilde{u}_\alpha$  converges uniformly to  $u_0$  on  $[0, 1]$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\tau(\alpha) \in (a(\alpha), 1)$  such that  $u(\tau(\alpha), \alpha) = \|u_\alpha\|_\infty$ . We first prove that

$$(2.15) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0} \tau(\alpha) = 0.$$

If (2.15) were false, there would be a sequence  $\alpha_j \rightarrow \alpha_0$  and  $\tau(\alpha_j) \rightarrow \tau_0 > 0$ . Since  $u'(\tau(\alpha_j), \alpha_j) = 0$ , by the continuous dependence of o.d.e.'s, we have  $u'(\tau_0, \alpha_0) = 0$ . Since  $u(\cdot, \alpha_0)$  is a solution on ball, by the result of Gidas, Ni and Nirenberg [6],  $u'(r, \alpha_0) < 0$  on  $(0, 1)$ , a contradiction. This proves (2.15).

Denote

$$(2.16) \quad F(u) = \int_0^u f(s) ds$$

and define

$$(2.17) \quad V(r) \equiv V(r, \alpha) \equiv \frac{1}{2}u'^2(r) + F(u(r)).$$

Since

$$V'(r) = -\frac{n-1}{r}u'^2(r) < 0,$$

by (2.14), we have

$$(2.18) \quad \frac{1}{2}u'^2(r, \alpha) \leq F(u(\tau(\alpha), \alpha)) \leq M_1 < \infty$$

for all  $r \in [\tau(\alpha), 1]$ , where  $M_1$  is a constant. Therefore, (2.11) and (2.18) imply that

$$|\tilde{u}'_\alpha(r)| \leq (2M_1)^{1/2} \quad \text{on } [0, 1].$$

Hence, by the Ascoli-Arzelà Theorem, there exists a  $\tilde{u} \in C([0, 1])$  such that  $\tilde{u}_\alpha \rightarrow \tilde{u}$  uniformly on  $[0, 1]$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0$ . On the other hand, by (2.15), for any  $r \in (0, 1)$ , we have  $u(r, \alpha) \rightarrow u_0(r)$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0$ . Hence,  $\tilde{u} = u_0$  on  $[0, 1]$ .

The proof is complete.

It is not clear whether or not (2.14) always holds in Proposition 2.2. Here, we give some sufficient conditions which imply (2.14).

**Proposition 2.3.** *If*(i)  $n \geq 3$  and there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$(2.19) \quad \frac{n}{n-2}f(u) \geq f'(u)u \geq (1+\delta)f(u) \quad \text{for } u > 0,$$

or

(ii)

$$(2.20) \quad f(u) = u^p, \quad 1 < p < (n+2)/(n-2), \quad \text{if } n \geq 3 \text{ and } p \text{ is finite if } n = 2,$$

then there exists  $\alpha_0 > 0$  such that  $u(\cdot, \alpha_0)$  is the unique solution on the ball and for any  $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, \infty)$ ,  $u(\cdot, \alpha)$  is the unique solution on the annulus  $(a(\alpha), 1)$ . Moreover, there exists  $M < \infty$ , such that for any  $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, \alpha_0 + 1)$ , (2.14) holds.

*Proof.* By Theorems 1.2 and 1.4 of Ni and Nussbaum [17], we have the first part of the theorem. By Theorem 6.6 of Bandle et al. [1], there exists a unique positive radial solution for (2.1) with the boundary condition  $u'(a) = 0 = u(1)$ . Finally, by Theorems VII and IX of Nehari [14], (2.14) holds.

The proof is complete.

**Remark 2.4.** In [2], Bandle and Peletier proved that if  $f(u) = u^{(n+2)/(n-2)}$ , then  $\|u_a\|_\infty \rightarrow \infty$  as  $a \rightarrow 0^+$ .

### 3. LINEARIZED EIGENVALUE PROBLEMS

To study the existence of nonradial solutions using bifurcation method, we need to investigate the linearized eigenvalue problem of (1.1), (1.2) at positive radial solutions  $u_a$ :

$$(3.1) \quad \Delta v + f'(u_a)v = -\mu v \quad \text{in } \Omega_a,$$

$$(3.2) \quad v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_a.$$

In spherical coordinates, (3.1), (3.2) are reduced to

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi''(r) + \frac{n-1}{r}\varphi'(r) + \left\{ f'(u_a) - \frac{\alpha_k}{r^2} \right\} \varphi(r) \\ = -\mu_{k,l}(u_a)\varphi(r), \quad a < r < 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$(3.4) \quad \varphi(a) = 0 = \varphi(1),$$

where  $\alpha_k = k(k+n-2)$ ,  $k$  and  $l$  are positive integers. Note that  $\alpha_k$  are the eigenvalues of Laplacian  $-\Delta$  on  $S^{n-1}$ , the unit sphere, and the dimension of the eigenspace  $S_{n,k}$  of associated eigenfunctions is

$$l_{n,k} = \binom{k+n-2}{k} \frac{n+2k-2}{n+k-2}.$$

Let  $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$ . A function  $v$  defined on  $S^{n-1}$  or  $\Omega_a$  is called  $O(n-1)$ -invariant if  $v(T\bar{x}, x_n) = v(\bar{x}, x_n)$  for all  $T \in O(n-1)$ . Then, for any positive integer  $k$ , the dimension of  $V_{n,k} = \{v \in S_{n,k} | v \text{ is } O(n-1)\text{-invariant}\}$  is one, for details see [19].

We first prove that if  $f$  satisfies (H-2), then for any positive integer  $k$ ,  $\mu_{k,l}(u_a) < 0$  when  $a$  is close to 1.

**Lemma 3.1.** *If (H-0)  $\sim$  (H-2) are satisfied. Then, for any  $k \geq 1$ , we have*

$$(3.5) \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow 1^-} \mu_{k,1}(a) = -\infty.$$

*Proof.* It is well known that  $\mu_{k,1}$  can be characterized as

$$(3.6) \quad \mu_{k,1}(u_a) = \inf_{\psi \in X_a} Q_k(\psi)/I_2(\psi)$$

where

$$(3.7) \quad Q_k(\psi) \equiv Q_{k,a}(\psi) \equiv \int_a^1 r^{n-1} \left\{ \psi'^2 - f'(u_a)\psi^2 + \frac{\alpha_k}{r^2} \psi^2 \right\} dr,$$

$$(3.8) \quad I_2(\psi) \equiv I_{2,a}(\psi) \equiv \int_a^1 r^{n-1} \psi^2 dr,$$

and  $X_a = H_0^1((a, 1))$ .

If  $u_a$  is a positive radial solution of (1.1), (1.2), then

$$(3.9) \quad \int_{\Omega_a} |\nabla u_a|^2 = \int_{\Omega_a} u_a f(u_a).$$

By (H-2), there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $M > 0$  such that

$$(3.10) \quad f'(u)u \geq (1 + \varepsilon)f(u) \quad \text{for } u \geq M.$$

By (3.9), (3.10) and Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_n Q_k(u_a) &= \omega_n \int_a^1 r^{n-1} \left\{ u_a'^2 - f'(u_a)u_a^2 + \frac{\alpha_k}{r^2} u_a^2 \right\} dr \\ &= \int_{\Omega_a} \{u_a f(u_a) - f'(u_a)u_a^2\} + \alpha_k \int_{\Omega_a} u_a^2 r^{-2} \\ &\leq -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_a} u_a f(u_a) + \alpha_k \int_{\Omega_a} u_a^2 r^{-2} + \int_{u_a \leq M} u_a f(u_a) - f'(u_a)u_a^2 \\ &\leq -\varepsilon \int_{\Omega_a} |\nabla u_a|^2 + \alpha_k a^{-2} \int_{\Omega_a} u_a^2 + M_1, \end{aligned}$$

for some constant  $M_1 \geq 0$ .

Let  $\nu_1(a)$  be the least eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$  on  $\Omega_a$  with the Dirichlet boundary condition. Then, it is easy to check that

$$(3.11) \quad \lim_{a \rightarrow 1^-} \nu_1(a) = \infty.$$

Using the Poincaré inequality

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{\Omega_a} |\nabla v|^2 \geq \nu_1(a) \int_{\Omega_a} v^2$$

for all  $v \in H_0^1(\Omega_a)$ , we obtain

$$\omega_n Q_k(u_a) \leq \{-\varepsilon + \alpha_k a^{-2} \nu^{-1}(a)\} \int_{\Omega_a} |\nabla u_a|^2 + M_1.$$

Therefore, by using (3.11) and (3.12) again, (3.5) follows.

The proof is complete.

Next, we prove that if annulus solutions  $u_a$  tend to a solution  $u_0$  on the ball, in the sense of Proposition 2.2, then  $\mu_{1,1}(u_a) > 0$  as  $a$  approaches 0.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 2.2. Then for any positive integer  $k$ ,*

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0} \mu_{k,1}(u_\alpha) = \mu_{k,1}(u_0) > 0.$$

*Proof.* We first prove that  $\mu_{1,1}(u_0) > 0$ . Since  $u_0(r)$  satisfies (2.12), (2.13) with  $u'_0(r) < 0$  in  $(0, 1)$ , then  $v = -u'_0$  satisfies

$$(3.14) \quad v''(r) + \frac{n-1}{r}v'(r) + \left\{ f'(u_0) - \frac{n-1}{r^2} \right\} v = 0 \quad \text{in } (0, 1),$$

$$(3.15) \quad v(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad v > 0 \quad \text{in } (0, 1).$$

Therefore, by using the Sturm Comparison Theorem, we have  $\mu_{1,1}(u_0) > 0$ . Hence,  $\mu_{k,1}(u_0) > 0$  for any positive integer  $k$ .

Next, we shall divide the proof of (3.13) into two parts:

(i)  $\limsup_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0} \mu_{k,1}(u_\alpha) \leq \mu_{k,1}(u_0)$ ,

(ii)  $\liminf_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0} \mu_{k,1}(u_\alpha) \geq \mu_{k,1}(u_0)$ .

(i) Let  $\psi_0 > 0$  be the eigenfunction associated with  $\mu_{k,1}(u_0)$ , i.e.,  $Q_{k,0}(\psi_0) = \mu_{k,1}(\psi_0)$  with the normalization  $I_{2,0}(\psi_0) = 1$ . Define  $\psi_\alpha: (a, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$ , by  $\psi_\alpha(r) = \psi_0((r-a)/(1-a))$ , where  $a = a(\alpha) \in (0, 1)$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{k,a}(\psi_\alpha) &= \int_a^1 r^{n-1} \left\{ \psi_\alpha'^2 - f'(u_\alpha) \psi_\alpha^2 + \frac{\alpha_k}{r^2} \psi_\alpha^2 \right\} dr \\ &= Q_1(\alpha) + Q_2(\alpha) + Q_3(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1(\alpha) &= \int_0^1 \{ (1-a)^{-2} \psi_0'^2(t) - f'(u_0) \psi_0^2(t) \\ &\quad + \alpha_k [a + (1-a)t]^{-2} \psi_0^2(t) \} [a + (1-a)t]^{n-1} (1-a) dt, \\ Q_2(\alpha) &= \int_0^1 r^{n-1} \{ f'(u_0) - f'(\tilde{u}_\alpha) \} \psi_0^2 \left( \frac{r-a}{1-a} \right) dr, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$Q_3(\alpha) = \int_a^{\tau(a)} r^{n-1} \{ f'(\tilde{u}_\alpha) - f'(u_\alpha) \} \psi_0^2 \left( \frac{r-a}{1-a} \right) dr.$$

By Proposition 2.2 and (2.15), for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have  $Q_{k,a}(\psi_\alpha) \leq \mu_{k,1}(u_0) + \varepsilon$  when  $\alpha$  is sufficiently close to  $\alpha_0$ .

This proves (i).

(ii) Let  $\psi_\alpha$  be the eigenfunction associated with  $\mu_{k,1}(u_\alpha)$  and  $I_{2,a}(\psi_\alpha) = 1$ .

Define

$$\bar{\psi}_\alpha(r) = \begin{cases} \psi_\alpha(r) & \text{if } r \in [a, 1], \\ 0 & \text{if } r \in [0, a]. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{k,0}(\bar{\psi}_\alpha) &= \int_0^1 r^{n-1} \left\{ \bar{\psi}_\alpha'^2 - f'(u_0) \bar{\psi}_\alpha^2 + \frac{\alpha_k}{r^2} \bar{\psi}_\alpha^2 \right\} dr \\ &= \int_a^1 r^{n-1} \left\{ \psi_\alpha'^2 - f'(u_\alpha) \psi_\alpha^2 + \frac{\alpha_k}{r^2} \psi_\alpha^2 \right\} dr \\ &\quad + \int_a^1 r^{n-1} \{ f'(u_\alpha) - f'(u_0) \} \psi_\alpha^2 dr \\ &= \mu_{k,1}(u_\alpha) + Q_4(\alpha) + Q_5(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$



where

$$Q_4(\alpha) = \int_{\tau(\alpha)}^1 r^{n-1} \{f'(u_\alpha) - f'(u_0)\} \psi_\alpha^2 dr$$

and

$$Q_5(\alpha) = \int_{a(\alpha)}^{\tau(\alpha)} r^{n-1} \{f'(u_\alpha) - f'(u_0)\} \psi_\alpha^2 dr.$$

We claim that

$$(3.16) \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0} \int_{a(\alpha)}^{\tau(\alpha)} r^{n-1} \psi_\alpha^2(r) dr = 0.$$

Since  $u_\alpha$  are uniformly bounded, it is easy to check that  $\mu_{k,1}(u_\alpha)$  are bounded, say,

$$(3.17) \quad |\mu_{k,1}(u_\alpha)| \leq C_1,$$

for some constant  $C_1 > 0$ . Therefore, by (3.6), (3.7), and (3.17), we obtain  $\int_{\Omega_\alpha} |\nabla \psi_\alpha|^2 \leq C_2$ , for some constant  $C_2 > 0$ . By the Sobolev Imbedding Theorem, we have  $\int_{\Omega} \psi_\alpha^{2n/(n-2)} \leq C_3$  for some constant  $C_3 > 0$ . Finally, by the Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int_a^\tau r^{n-1} \psi_\alpha^2 \leq \left\{ \int_a^\tau r^{n-1} \right\}^{2/n} \left\{ \int_a^\tau \psi_\alpha^{2n/(n-2)} \right\}^{(n-2)/n} \leq C_4 \tau(\alpha)^2$$

for some constant  $C_4 > 0$ . This proves (3.16). By (3.16) and Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0} Q_4(\alpha) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \alpha_0} Q_5(\alpha) = 0.$$

This proves (ii), and the proof is complete.

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $u_a$ ,  $a \in (0, 1)$ , be a family of positive radial solutions of (1.1) and (1.2).  $u_a$  is called smooth in  $a$  if  $u_a$  is continuous in  $a$  with respect to the  $L^\infty$  norm.

**Definition 3.4.** A smooth family of positive radial solutions  $u_a$  is said to converge to a positive radial solution  $u_0$  on unit ball if  $\tilde{u}_a$  converges uniformly to  $u_0$  on  $[0, 1]$  as  $a \rightarrow 0^+$ , where  $\tilde{u}_a$  is as in (2.11).

**Theorem 3.5.** Assume (H-0)  $\sim$  (H-2) are satisfied. Let  $u_a$ ,  $a \in (0, 1)$ , be a smooth family of positive radial solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) which converges to a positive radial solution  $u_0$  on the unit ball as  $a \rightarrow 0^+$ . Then, for any  $k \geq 1$ , there exists  $a_k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$(3.18) \quad \mu_{k,1}(u_{a_k}) = 0.$$

*Proof.* The result follows from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 and the continuous dependence of eigenvalue  $\mu_{k,1}$  on  $u_a$ .

**Theorem 3.6.** If  $f$  satisfies (2.19) or (2.20), then for any  $k \geq 1$ , there exists  $a_k \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\mu_{k,1}(u_{a_k}) = 0$ . Moreover, we have

$$(3.19) \quad \mu_{k,l}(u_{a_k}) > 0$$

for all integers  $l \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.3 and Theorem 3.5, we obtain the first part of the results. Since the unique radial solutions  $u_a$ ,  $a \in (0, 1)$ , can be obtained by a Nehari-type variational method. By Lemma 6.3 of Bandle et al. [1],

$$(3.20) \quad \mu_{0,l}(u_a) \geq 0$$

for all integers  $l \geq 2$ . Hence (3.19) follows from (3.20).

The proof is complete.

For supercritical case, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Assume (H-0)  $\sim$  (H-2) are satisfied. Let  $u_a$ ,  $a \in (\delta, 1)$  and  $\delta \geq 0$ , be a smooth family of positive radial solutions of (1.1) and (1.2). Then, for sufficiently large  $k$ , there exists  $a_k \in (\delta, 1)$  such that  $\mu_{k,1}(u_{a_k}) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* For a fixed  $a \in (\delta, 1)$ , there exists  $k_0 \geq 1$  such that for any  $k \geq k_0$ , we have  $\alpha_k/r^2 \geq f'(u_a)$  on  $[a, 1]$ . Hence,  $\mu_{k,1}(u_a) > 0$  for  $k \geq k_0$ . Therefore, the result follows from Lemma 3.1.

The proof is complete.

#### 4. McLEOD-SERRIN IDENTITY

In this section we shall use the McLeod-Serrin identity [13] to study  $\mu_{k,1} = 0$ .

We first recall the identity and let  $u$  and  $\psi$  satisfy

$$(4.1) \quad u'' + \frac{n-1}{r}u' + f(u) = 0$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \psi'' + \frac{n-1}{r}\psi' + g(r)\psi = 0,$$

respectively. Let

$$Y = r^{a-b}\psi, \quad Z = \{r^a(u-c)\}', \quad W = YZ' - ZY'$$

and  $D = (r^m w)'$ , where  $m = n - 1 - 2a + 2b$  and  $a, b$  and  $c$  are constants, then

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{D}{r^{m-2}Y} &= \{(b-1)(b+n-3) + r^2[g(r) - f'(u)]\}Z \\ &+ 2r^a(b-1)(a-n+2)u' \\ &+ ar^{a+1} \left\{ (u-c)f'(u) - \left(1 + \frac{2b}{a}\right) f(u) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $c = 0$  and  $g(r) = f'(u) - \alpha/r^2$ , then (4.3) can be written as

$$(4.4) \quad (r^m W)' = \{Ar^{n-4+b}u + Br^{n-3+b}u' + r^{n-2+b}C(u)\}\psi,$$

where

$$(4.5) \quad A = a\{(b-1)(b+n-3) - \alpha\},$$

$$(4.6) \quad B = (b-1)(b+n-3) - \alpha + 2(b-1)(a-n+2),$$

$$(4.7) \quad C(u) = au f'(u) - (a+2b)f(u)$$

and

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} r^m W &= a(b-1)r^{n-3+b}u\psi + (a+b+1-n)r^{n-2+b}u'\psi \\ &- ar^{n-2+b}u\psi' - r^{n-1+b}u'\psi' - r^{n-1+b}f(u)\psi. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if we choose  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $B = 0$ , i.e.,

$$(4.9) \quad (b-1)(b+n-3) - \alpha + 2(b-1)(a-n+2) = 0,$$

and assume that  $u$  and  $\psi$  satisfy

$$(4.10) \quad u(R) = 0 = u(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(R) = 0 = \psi(1),$$

where  $R \in (0, 1)$ . Then, by integrating (4.4) from  $R$  to 1, we have

$$(4.11) \quad R^{n-1+b}u'(R)\psi'(R) - A \int_R^1 r^{n-4+b}u\psi - \int_R^1 r^{n-2+b}C(u)\psi \\ = u'(1)\psi'(1).$$

By choosing appropriate  $a$  and  $b$ , we can now prove the following result for supercritical  $f$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Assume  $f$  satisfies*

$$(H-4) \quad uf'(u) \geq \frac{n+2}{n-2}f(u) \quad \text{for } u > 0.$$

*If  $u_R$  is a solution of (2.1) and (2.2) with  $a = R$ , then  $\mu_{1,1}(u_R) \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\mu_{1,1}(u_R) = 0$ . Let  $\psi$  be an associated eigenfunction with  $\psi > 0$  in  $(R, 1)$ . Since  $\alpha = \alpha_1 = n-1$ , it is easy to check that  $a (= 0)$  and  $b (= 0)$  satisfy (4.9) and thus  $A = 0$  and  $C(u) = 0$ . Therefore, (4.11) becomes

$$(4.12) \quad R^{n-1}u'(R)\psi'(R) = u'(1)\psi'(1).$$

On the other hand,  $a (= n-2)$  and  $b (= 2)$  satisfy (4.9) too. For these choices, we have  $A = 0$  and  $C(u) = (n-2)uf'(u) - (n+2)f(u)$ . Therefore, (4.11) becomes

$$(4.13) \quad R^{n+1}u'(R)\psi'(R) - \int_R^1 r^n \{(n-2)uf'(u) - (n+2)f(u)\}\psi \\ = u'(1)\psi'(1).$$

Therefore, if  $f$  satisfies (H-4), then (4.12) and (4.13) lead to a contradiction.

The proof is complete.

**Corollary 4.2.** *For  $n \geq 3$  and  $p \geq (n+2)/(n-2)$ , let  $u_R$  be the unique positive radial solution of*

$$(4.14) \quad u'' + \frac{n-1}{r}u' + u^p = 0 \quad \text{in } (R, 1),$$

$$(4.15) \quad u(R) = 0 = u(1).$$

*Then  $\mu_{1,1}(u_R) < 0$  and  $\mu_{k,l}(u_R) > 0$  for  $k \geq 1$  and  $l \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.1,  $\mu_{1,1}(u_R) \neq 0$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $\mu_{1,1}(u_R) < 0$  for  $R$  close to 1. Hence  $\mu_{1,1}(u_R) < 0$  for all  $R \in (0, 1)$ . By (3.20), we have  $\mu_{k,l}(u_R) > 0$  for all  $k \geq 1$  and  $l \geq 2$ .

The proof is complete.

Also the McLeod-Serrin identity has to do with  $\mu_{k,1} \neq 0$  for  $k \geq 2$ . As an example, we prove the following results.

**Theorem 4.3.** For  $n \geq 3$  and  $p > 1 + 2(k+1)/(n-2)$ , let  $u_R$  be the solution of (4.14) and (4.15). Then  $\mu_{k,1}(u_R) < 0$  if

$$(4.16) \quad R \geq R(k, p, n) = \{2(k-1)/[(n-2)(p-1) - 2(k+1)]\}^{1/2k}.$$

*Proof.* For any fixed  $\alpha$ , in equation (4.9),  $a$  can be solved in terms of  $b$ ; in fact,

$$(4.17) \quad a = \frac{n-1-b}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2(b-1)}, \quad \text{for } b \neq 1.$$

Let  $\alpha = \alpha_k = k(k+n-2)$ . Then

$$A = -2\{b - (k+1)\}\{b + (k+n-3)\}\{b - (n+k-1)\}\{b + k - 1\}/(b-1)$$

and

$$C(u) = cu^p, \quad \text{where } c = a(p-1) - 2b.$$

Choosing  $b_1 = -(k-1)$  and  $b_2 = (k+1)$ , we have  $A_1 = A_2 = 0$ ,  $c_1 = 2(k-1)$  and  $c_2 = (n-2)(p-1) - 2(k+1)$ . By (4.10) and (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^{n-k}u'(R)\psi'(R) - c_1 \int_R^1 r^{n-k-1}u^p \psi \\ = R^{n+k}u'(R)\psi'(R) - c_2 \int_R^1 r^{n+k-1}u^p \psi, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$c_1 \int_R^1 r^{n-k-1}u^p \psi > c_2 \int_R^1 r^{n+k-1}u^p \psi.$$

Therefore, if for any  $r \in [R, 1]$

$$(4.18) \quad c_1 r^{n-k} \leq c_2 r^{n+k},$$

then (4.10) does not hold, i.e.,  $\mu_{k,1}(u_R) \neq 0$ . Finally, (4.16) follows from (4.18) by a straightforward computation.

The proof is complete.

*Remark 4.4.* For a fixed  $k \geq 2$ ,  $R(k, p, n) \rightarrow 0$  as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore, it is of interest to know whether or not there exists a finite  $p^*(k, n) > 0$  such that  $\mu_{k,1}(u_R) < 0$  for all  $R \in (0, 1)$  if  $p > p^*(k, n)$ . Note that  $p^*(1, n) = (n+2)/(n-2)$ .

## 5. SYMMETRY BREAKING

In this section, we shall study the problem of nonradial bifurcation (symmetry breaking) of (1.1) and (1.2) at a positive radial solution  $u_a$  with  $\mu_{k,1}(u_a) = 0$ , where  $k \geq 1$ .

To begin with, we shall take  $a$  as a bifurcation parameter, (i.e., we vary domains). As for handling these problems we shall work in the Lagrangian formulation and then in the Eulerian formulation for computational purpose (see, e.g., Henry [7]).

We begin with the Lagrangian formulation. Fix a constant  $c \in (0, 1)$  and denote  $\Omega = \Omega_c$ . Then for any  $t \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\Omega_t = h_t(\Omega)$ , where in spherical coordinates,  $h_t$  is given by

$$(5.1) \quad h_t(r, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}) = \left(1 + \frac{t-1}{c-1}(r-1), \theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}\right), \quad r \in (c, 1).$$

The pull back  $h_t^*: C^m(\Omega_t) \rightarrow C^m(\Omega)$  is defined by

$$(5.2) \quad w(y, t) \equiv (h_t^* u)(y) = u(h_t(y)), \quad y \in \Omega.$$

Then, equations (1.1), (1.2) on  $\Omega_t$  can be rewritten as

$$(5.3) \quad L_t w + f(w) = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$(5.4) \quad w = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where  $L_t = h_t^* \Delta (h_t^*)^{-1}$ . Moreover, (5.3) and (5.4) are equivalent to the nonlinear operator equation

$$(5.5) \quad w(\cdot, t) - \Phi_t(w(\cdot, t)) = 0$$

on  $C_0^{1+\delta}(\bar{\Omega}) \times (0, 1)$ , where the nonlinear operator  $\Phi_t: C_0^{1+\delta}(\bar{\Omega}) \times (0, 1) \rightarrow C_0^{1+\delta}(\bar{\Omega})$  is given by

$$(5.6) \quad \Phi_t(w) = \Phi(w, t) = (-L_t)^{-1} f(w),$$

$\delta \in (0, 1)$  is a constant.

Since  $\Phi_t$  is a compact operator on  $C_0^{1+\delta}(\Omega) \times [a, b]$ ,  $[a, b] \subset (0, 1)$ , the method of degree theory can be applied to equation (5.5).

On the other hand, in the Eulerian formulation, let  $u(x, t)$  be a positive solution of (1.1), (1.2) on  $\Omega_t$ , which is smooth in  $t$ . Let  $v(x, t) = \partial u(x, t) / \partial t$ . Then  $v$  satisfies the following linearized equations of (1.1) and (1.2) at  $u$ :

$$(5.7) \quad \Delta v(x, t) + f'(u(x, t))v(x, t) = 0, \quad \text{in } \Omega_t,$$

$$(5.8) \quad v(x, t) + V(x, t) \cdot \nabla u(x, t) = 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_t,$$

where in spherical coordinates,

$$(5.9) \quad V(x, t) = \left( \frac{|x| - 1}{t - 1}, 0, \dots, 0 \right).$$

If  $u(x, t) = u(|x|, t)$  is a positive radial solution of (1.1) and (1.2), let  $v(x, t) = \varphi(r, t)\psi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$ . Then (5.7) and (5.8) are reduced to

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi''(r, t) + \frac{n-1}{r} \varphi'(r, t) + \left\{ f'(u) - \frac{\alpha_k}{r^2} \right\} \varphi(r, t) \\ = -\mu_{k,l} \varphi(r, t), \quad r \in (t, 1), \\ \varphi(t, t) = 0 = \varphi(1, t), \end{aligned}$$

for  $k \geq 0$  and  $l \geq 1$ . These equations have been studied in previous sections.

We need the following terminology:

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $u_t$ ,  $t \in (a_0, b_0) \subset (0, 1)$ , be a smooth family of positive radial solutions of (1.1) and (1.2).  $a \in (0, 1)$  is called a nonradial bifurcation point (with respect to  $u_t$ ) if every neighborhood of  $(u_a, a)$  in  $C_0^{1+\delta}(\bar{\Omega}) \times (0, 1)$  contains a nonradial positive solution of (1.1) and (1.2). If  $a$  is a bifurcation point and  $\mu_{k,1}(u_a) = 0$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , then  $a$  is called a nonradial bifurcation point with mode  $k$ . Similarly,  $[a, b] \subset (a_0, b_0)$  is called a nonradial bifurcation interval if every neighborhood of  $\{(u_t, t), t \in [a, b]\}$  in  $C_0^{1+\delta}(\bar{\Omega}) \times (0, 1)$  contains a nonradial positive solution of (1.1) and (1.2). In both cases, we say that  $u_t$  has a nonradial bifurcation (or symmetry breaking) on  $(0, 1)$ .

We shall restrict (5.5) on the  $O(n-1)$ -invariant subspace  $\{w \in C_0^{1+\gamma}(\bar{\Omega}) \times (0, 1): w \text{ is } O(n-1)\text{-invariant}\}$ , see the end of the first paragraph of §3. The following result is a variant of bifurcation theorems of Krasnosel'ski [9] or Rabinowitz [18], which was proved essentially in Lin [12]. The proof is omitted.

**Theorem 5.2.** Let  $u_t$  be the family of positive radial solutions of (1.1) and (1.2) which are smooth in  $t \in (a_0, b_0) \subset (0, 1)$ .

If  $a \in (a_0, b_0)$  and there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $k \geq 1$  such that

(i)  $\mu_{k,1}(u_a) = 0$  and  $\mu_{k,1}(u_t)\mu_{k,1}(u_{t'}) < 0$  for  $t \in (a - \varepsilon, a)$  and  $t' \in (a, a + \varepsilon)$ ,

(ii)  $\mu_{k,2}(t) > 0$  for  $t \in (a - \varepsilon, a + \varepsilon)$ , then  $a$  is a nonradial bifurcation point with mode  $k$ .

Similarly, if (i) and (ii) are replaced by

(i)'  $\mu_{k,1}(u_t) = 0$  on  $[a, b]$  and  $\mu_{k,1}(u_t) \cdot \mu_{k,1}(u_{t'}) < 0$  for  $t \in (a - \varepsilon, a)$  and  $t' \in (b, b + \varepsilon)$ ,

(ii)'  $\mu_{k,2}(t) > 0$  for  $t \in (a - \varepsilon, b + \varepsilon)$ , then  $[a, b]$  is a nonradial bifurcation interval.

**Theorem 5.3.** If  $f$  satisfies (2.19) or (2.20), then for any  $k \geq 1$ , the radial solution  $u_a$  has a nonradial bifurcation with mode  $k$  on  $(0, 1)$ . If  $f(u) = u^p$  with  $p \geq (n+2)/(n-2)$ , then there exists  $k^*(p) > 1$ , such that for any  $k \geq k^*(p)$ ,  $u_a$  has a nonradial bifurcation with mode  $k$  on  $(0, 1)$ .

*Proof.* The results follow from Theorems 3.6, 3.7 and 5.2.

## 6. VARIATIONAL METHOD

In this section, we shall use the Nehari-type variational method to study the existence of positive nonradial solution of (1.1), (1.2).

Consider the functionals

$$(6.1) \quad J(v) = \int_{\Omega_a} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 - F(v)$$

and

$$(6.2) \quad I(v) = \int_{\Omega_a} |\nabla v|^2 - v f(v)$$

on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , where  $F(v) = \int_0^v f(t) dt$ . Let

$$(6.3) \quad M = \{v \in H_0^1(\Omega_a) : I(v) = 0\},$$

$$(6.4) \quad M_r = \{v \in M : v \text{ is radial}\}.$$

Let  $u_a$  be a positive radial solution of (1.1) and (1.2) which is unstable with respect to nonradial mode, i.e., the following conditions hold:

(U) there are eigenvalues  $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < 0$  and eigenfunctions  $v_1 = v_1(r) > 0$  and  $v_2 = \varphi(r)\psi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1})$  with  $\varphi(r) > 0$  in  $(a, 1)$  and  $\psi \not\equiv 0$  such that

$$(6.5) \quad \Delta v_1 + f'(u_a)v_1 = -\mu_1 v_1 \quad \text{in } \Omega_a,$$

$$(6.6) \quad v_1 = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_a,$$

and

$$(6.7) \quad \Delta v_2 + f'(u_a)v_2 = -\mu_2 v_2 \quad \text{in } \Omega_a,$$

$$(6.8) \quad v_2 = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_a.$$

We first prove the following lemmas which generalize the results of Bandle et al. [1].

**Lemma 6.1.** Assume  $f$  satisfies (H-0), (H-1) and

(H-2)'' there is  $\tau > 0$  such that  $uf'(u) \geq (1 + \tau)f(u)$  for all  $u > 0$ .

Let  $u_a$  be a positive radial solution of (1.1) and (1.2) and satisfy (U). Then there exist an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a smooth function  $\delta: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$  with  $\delta(0) = \delta'(0) = 0$  such that for any  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ ,

$$(6.9) \quad I(u_a + \delta(t)v_1 + tv_2) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Define the function  $H(\delta, t): \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$  by  $H(\delta, t) = I(u_a + \delta v_1 + tv_2)$ . Then, it is easy to verify that

$$H(\delta, 0) = \delta \int_{\Omega_a} \{f(u_a) - f'(u_a)u_a\}v_1 + O(\delta^2)$$

as  $\delta \sim 0$ . Hence,

$$(6.10) \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial \delta}(0, 0) = \int_{\Omega_a} \{f(u_a) - f'(u_a)u_a\}v_1 < 0.$$

By the implicit function theorem, there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a smooth function  $\delta: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^1$  with  $\delta(0) = 0$  such that (6.9) holds. To show  $\delta'(0) = 0$ , we note that

$$(6.11) \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial \delta}(\delta(t), t) \frac{d\delta}{dt} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial t}(\delta(t), t) = 0,$$

and as  $t \sim 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(0, t) &= I(u_a + tv_2) \\ &= \int_{\Omega_a} |\nabla u_a|^2 + 2t \nabla u_a \cdot \nabla v_2 + t^2 |\nabla v_2|^2 \\ &\quad - (u_a + tv_2) \left\{ f(u_a) + f'(u_a)tv_2 + \frac{1}{2}f''(u_a)t^2v_2^2 \right\} + O(t^3) \\ &= t \int_{\Omega_a} 2\nabla u_a \cdot \nabla v_2 - \{f(u_a) + u_a f'(u_a)\}v_2 \\ &\quad + t^2 \int_{\Omega_a} |\nabla v_2|^2 - f'(u_a)v_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}f''(u_a)u_av_2^2 + O(t^3) \\ &= t^2 \int_{\Omega_a} \mu_2 v_2^2 - \frac{1}{2}f''(u_a)u_av_2^2 + O(t^3), \end{aligned}$$

here,

$$(6.12) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} \psi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{n-1}) = 0$$

has been used repeatedly. Therefore,  $\partial H(0, 0)/\partial t = 0$ . By (6.11), we have  $\delta'(0) = 0$ .

The proof is complete.

**Lemma 6.2.** Assume (H-0), (H-1) and (H-2)'' are satisfied. Let  $u_a$  be a positive radial solution of (1.1) and (1.2) and satisfy (U). Then

$$(6.13) \quad J(u_a + \delta(t)v_1 + tv_2) = J(u_a) + \frac{1}{2}\mu_2 t^2 + O(t^4)$$

as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . In particular,  $J(u_a)$  is not the infimum of  $J$  over  $M$ .

*Proof.* After some calculations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(u_a + \delta(t)v_1 + tv_2) - J(u_a) \\ = \frac{1}{2}\mu_1\delta^2(t) \int_{\Omega_a} v_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_2 t^2 \int_{\Omega_a} v_2^2 + O(t^4), \end{aligned}$$

here (6.12) are used. Since  $\delta(0) = \delta'(0) = 0$ , (6.13) follows.

The proof is complete.

Now, we can prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.3.** *Assume (H-0), (H-1), (H-2)'' and (H-3) are satisfied. Then there exists an  $a^* \in (0, 1)$  such that for any  $a \in (a^*, 1)$ , (1.1) and (1.2) have a nonradial solution.*

*Proof.* We note that (H-2)'' implies  $M_1 = 0$  in the proof of Lemma 3.1. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, there exists an  $a^* \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\mu_{1,1}(u_a) < 0$  for any positive radial solution  $u_a$  of (1.1) and (1.2) with  $a \in (a^*, 1)$ . Hence, by Lemma 6.2, we have  $J(u_a) > j(a) \equiv \inf_{v \in M} J(v)$ . Since  $j(a)$  is achieved by some  $\bar{u}_a \in M$  and  $\bar{u}_a$  is a positive solution of (1.1) and (1.2), (see, e.g., Ni [16]). Therefore,  $\bar{u}_a$  is nonradial.

The proof is complete.

## REFERENCES

1. C. Bandle, C. V. Coffman, and M. Marcus, *Nonlinear elliptic problems in annular domains*, J. Differential Equations **69** (1987), 322–345.
2. C. Bandle and L. A. Peletier, *Nonlinear elliptic problems with critical exponent in shrinking annuli*, Math. Ann. **280** (1988), 1–19.
3. H. Brezis and L. Nirenberg, *Positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **34** (1983), 437–477.
4. C. V. Coffman, *A nonlinear boundary value problem with many positive solutions*, J. Differential Equations **54** (1984), 429–437.
5. X. Garaizar, *Existence of positive radial solutions for semilinear elliptic equations in the annulus*, J. Differential Equations **70** (1987), 69–92.
6. B. Gidas, W.-M. Ni, and L. Nirenberg, *Symmetry and related properties via the maximum principle*, Comm. Math. Phys. **68** (1979), 209–243.
7. D. Henry, *Topics in nonlinear analysis*, Trabalho de Mathematica No. 192, Universidade de Brasilia, 1982.
8. B. Kawohl, *Rearrangements and convexity of level sets in PDE*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1150, Springer, 1985, pp. 95–97.
9. M. A. Krasnosel'skii, *Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations*, Pergamon, 1964.
10. S. S. Lin, *On non-radially symmetric bifurcation in the annulus*, J. Differential Equations **80** (1989), 251–279.
11. —, *On the existence of positive radial solutions for nonlinear elliptic equations in annular domains*, J. Differential Equations **81** (1989), 221–233.
12. —, *Positive radial solutions and non-radial bifurcation for semilinear elliptic equations in annular domains*, J. Differential Equations **86** (1990), 367–391.
13. K. McLeod and J. Serrin, *Uniqueness of positive radial solutions of  $\Delta u + f(u) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **99** (1987), 115–145.
14. Z. Nehari, *On a class of nonlinear second order differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1960), 101–123.



15. W.-M. Ni, *Some aspects of semilinear elliptic equations*, Lecture Notes, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan, May 1987.
16. —, *Recent progress in semilinear elliptic equations*, Solutions for Nonlinear Elliptic Equations (T. Suzuki, ed.), RIMS Kokyuroku 679, Research Institute for Mathematical Science, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan, February, 1989.
17. W.-M. Ni and R. Nussbaum, *Uniqueness and non-uniqueness for positive radial solution of  $\Delta u + f(u, r) = 0$* , Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **38** (1985), 67–108.
18. P. H. Rabinowitz, *Some global results for nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, J. Functional Analysis **7** (1971), 487–513.
19. J. Smoller and A. Wasserman, *Symmetry-breaking for solution of semilinear elliptic equations with general boundary conditions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **105** (1986), 415–441.
20. T. Suzuki and K. Nagasaki, *On the nonlinear eigenvalue problem  $\Delta u + \lambda e^u = 0$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **309** (1988), 591–608.
21. —, *Lifting of local subdifferentiations and elliptic boundary value problems on symmetric domains. I*, Proc. Japan Academy **64** (1988), 1–4.
22. T. Suzuki, *Symmetric domains and elliptic equations*, preprint.

DEPARTMENT OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL CHIAO TUNG UNIVERSITY, HSIN-CHU, TAIWAN, REPUBLIC OF CHINA

E-mail address: sslin@twncu01.bitnet